1	W	0	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
8			
9	Un	ited States of America,	NO. 19-61130MJ-001
10		Plaintiff,	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
11	v.		
12	Jaii	ro Danilo Marroquin-Pinzon,	
13	Defendant.		
14			
15	In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has		
16	been held. Defendant was present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a		
17	preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.		
18	FINDINGS OF FACT		
19			
20	I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:		
21	\boxtimes	The defendant is not a citizen of the Unite	ed States or lawfully admitted for permanent
22	residence.		
23		The defendant, at the time of the charged. The defendant has no significant contact.	in the United States in the District of
24		Arizona.	
25	☐ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
26	☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.		
27	☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
28	☐ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
		:-	

1	☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
2	☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
3	☐ The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.			
4				
5	The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter except as noted in the record.			
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
7	1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee.			
8 9	2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
10	DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION			
11				
12	The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extens practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending			
13				
	appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation			
14	with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver			
15	the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in			
16	connection with a court proceeding.			
17	APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE			
18	IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the			
19	District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for			
20	review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.			
21				
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing			
23	before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and			
24	investigate the potential third party custodian.			
25	DATE: 5/6/2019			
26	1 & Malal			
27	Honorable James F. Metcalf United States Magistrate Judge			
- '	officed states Magistrate states			

28